

## Zoledronic Acid For Treating Osteoporosis in postmenopausal Egyptian Patients

### Health Technology Appraisal

*Issued: July 2014*

- بيانات المستحضر محل الدراسة:

Intervention	Zoledronic Acid
Trade name	Aclasta
Company name	GSK
Comparator	Alendronic Acid

- الهدف:

تقييم الفعالية لقاء التكلفة لمستحضر **Zoledronic Acid** في علاج مرضي هشاشة العظام بعد انقطاع الطمث. وذلك لضمان أفضل النتائج العلاجية بالنسبة للمريض وبأقل تكلفة ممكنة من خلال الإلتزام بالخطوط العلاجية الاستراتيجية العالمية وفي ضوء الممارسة الإكلينيكية المحلية.

- توصية لجنة اقتصاديات الدواء:

بناء على الطلب المحول من الإدارة الفنية لمناقصات الادوية بالتوصية بعمل دراسة "Pharmacoeconomics" لمستحضر الـ **Aclasta**. فقد قامت وحدة اقتصاديات الدواء بعمل "Cost Effectiveness Study" بين مادتي الـ (Zoledronic Acid) و **Aclasta** والعلاج التقليدي متمثلاً في الـ (Alendronic Acid).

ووجدت الدراسة أن مستحضر الـ **Aclasta** هو الأكفأ من حيث الفعالية مقابل التكلفة، وذلك بعد احتساب نسبة فعالية التكلفة المتزايدة والتي تُقدر بـ 345 LE/QALY وهي تعتبر أقل من الحد الأقصى الذي أقرته منظمة الصحة العالمية لاحتساب نسبة فعالية التكلفة المتزايدة للدول النامية ذات الدخل المتوسط ( ويساوي ٣ اضعاف ناتج الدخل القومي "GDP").

- علماً ان الدراسة التي قامت باجرائها وحدة اقتصاديات الدواء شملت بيانات التكلفة الخاصة بالمستحضرات والتي تم تجميعها من تسعيرة الادارة الفنية لمناقصات الادوية ومناقصات هيئة التأمين الصحي، بالإضافة إلى باقي التكلفة المرتبطة بالإقامة بالمستشفى والأدوية والتبعبات المحتملة عن علاج حالات الكسر بأنواعها والنتيجة عن استخدام كل بروتوكول على حدة، وطبقاً لتسعيرة نفقة الدولة .

- تم تجميع البيانات الخاصة بالقيمة العلاجية الناتجة عن جودة الحياة المعيشية للمريض "QUALITY OF LIFE" ونسبة الحالات المستجيبة للعلاج من الدراسات المنشورة عالمياً .

*English Summary:*

**Cost-effectiveness of Zoledronic Acid versus Alendronic Acid in the treatment of Osteoporosis in postmenopausal Egyptian Patients: A Markov Model**

• **Introduction**

Osteoporosis is a major public health problem leading to an enhanced fragility of the skeleton [1]. It is defined by low Bone Mineral Density (BMD). The major concern with low BMD is the high risk of fractures to non-vertebral bones such as the wrist or to the hip. A hip fracture may require extended hospital stay, surgical repair and rehabilitation therapy, and is associated with increased risk of death [2]. Osteoporosis causes more than 8.9 million fractures annually worldwide [3]. Today, a 50-years old woman is estimated to have a 40% risk of presenting an osteoporotic related fracture at some point in her life [4]. In Egypt, based on different studies, it has been calculated that 53.9% of postmenopausal women have osteopenia, a condition in which bone mineral density is lower than normal, and 28.4% have osteoporosis; in men, 26% have osteopenia and 21.9% have osteoporosis [5,6].

Pharmacologic treatment of osteoporosis consists of antiresorptive drugs that inhibit osteoclastic bone resorption or, anabolic agents. The most commonly prescribed treatments are bisphosphonates, e.g. alendronate, risedronate, etidronate, ibandronate, and the recently developed zoledronic acid. The biggest challenge of these treatment options is the poor long-term patient adherence to the treatment regimen with frequent administration which leads to poor drug effectiveness [7]. One possible way to improve adherence would be to develop treatments which require less frequent dosing schedules. New dosing schedules are recently available, including those that require an annual regimen such as zoledronic acid.

Zoledronic acid 5 mg is infused over a 15-minute period once a year, whereas alendronic acid is given as a once-weekly 70-mg tablet or a once-daily 10-mg tablet, risedronate as a once-daily 5-mg, a once-weekly 35-mg tablet, or a once-monthly 150-mg tablet, and ibandronate as a once-monthly 150-mg tablet or as a 3-mg quarterly injection. Etidronate is licensed at a dose of 400 mg/d for 14 days in a 3-month cycle [4].

• **Objective**

The objective was to evaluate, from the Ministry of Health perspective, the cost-effectiveness of using zoledronic acid 5mg compared to that of alendronic acid in the treatment of osteoporosis in postmenopausal Egyptian patients.

• Economic evaluation Key Features:

<b>Key Features:</b>	
<b>year of the document</b>	July 2014
<b>Affiliation of authors</b>	Pharmacoeconomic Unit, Central Administration for Pharmaceutical Affairs
<b>Purpose of the document</b>	Evaluation the cost-effectiveness of using Zoledronic acid 5mg compared to Alendronic acid in the treatment of Osteoporosis in postmenopausal Egyptian patients
<b>Standard reporting format included</b>	yes
<b>Disclosure</b>	yes
<b>Target audience of funding/ author's interests</b>	Public and private payers, healthcare industries and clinicians
<b>Perspective</b>	Ministry of Health perspective
<b>Indication</b>	Treatment of Osteoporosis in postmenopausal
<b>Target population</b>	Those who insured by the Egyptian health care system
<b>Subgroup analysis</b>	Only for those whom clinical and cost effectiveness may be expected to differ from that of the overall population.
<b>Choice of comparator</b>	Alendronic acid
<b>Time horizon</b>	over a five-year period
<b>Assumptions required</b>	yes
<b>Analytical technique</b>	Cost-effectiveness analysis
<b>Costs to be included</b>	Total costs include costs of treatment and managing strategies according to the Egyptian current practice.
<b>Source of costs</b>	Official sources of unit cost data for products (e.g. Tender lists)
<b>Modeling</b>	Markov model
<b>Systematic review of evidences</b>	yes
<b>Preference for effectiveness over efficacy</b>	yes
<b>Outcome measure</b>	The outcomes of the two treatments were measured in terms of quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs)
<b>Method to derive utility</b>	The direct use of EQ-5D
<b>Equity issues stated</b>	All lives, life years, or QALYs are valued equally, regardless of age, gender, or socioeconomic status of individuals in the population

<b>Discounting costs</b>	A discount rate of 3.5 % per year is used for costs.
<b>Discounting outcomes</b>	A discount rate of 3.5 % per year is used for outcomes.
<b>Sensitivity analysis-parameters and range</b>	Critical component(s) in the calculation is varied through a relevant range or from worst case to best case.
<b>Sensitivity analysis-methods</b>	One-way sensitivity analysis is performed.
<b>Presenting results</b>	Zoledronic acid is cost-effective alternative to the use of Alendronic acid for management of post-menopausal women with osteoporosis.
<b>Incremental analysis</b>	yes
<b>Total costs vs. effectiveness (cost/effectiveness ratio)</b>	yes
<b>Portability of results (Generalizability)</b>	The generalizability and extent to which the clinical efficacy data and the economic data are representative is discussed.

- **Committee Discussion**

It is important to identify the most cost-effective treatment in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis from a range of alternatives. To support reimbursement decision-making in Egypt, Decision analysis is a quantitative method for synthesizing data from numerous sources for the evaluation of treatment alternatives and was developed to determine the cost-effectiveness of the zoledronic acid as compared to, the currently used regimen, alendronic acid.

The results of the model in this study demonstrate that zoledronic acid is cost-effective compared with alendronic acid and should be advocated for patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis. Interestingly, the zoledronic acid group exhibited a small gain in QALYs and costs compared with the alendronic acid group. Thus, the new treatment (Zoledronic acid) is cost-effective because its ICER value is lower than the cost/QALY threshold that recommended by the world health organization for lower and middle income countries.

The strength of this model is the use of relative risk data from a systematic literature review of multiple databases that identified randomized placebo-controlled trials with nine drugs for post-menopausal women [8]. This systematic review includes trials that were identified by a systematic, unbiased literature search.

Given the lack of head to head trials, the indirect comparison using a Bayesian approach becomes valuable alternative. In addition, the incorporation of quality-of-life issues may be important for clinical decisions from the perspective of Ministry of Health who seek to improve their patients' lives. The present study incorporates a decision analysis approach that compared the cost effectiveness of zoledronic acid versus alendronic acid in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis to assess its cost-effectiveness in order to get reimbursed by the Egyptian Ministry of Health.

The results of the study are supported by many Health Technology Assessment parties. Zoledronic acid was approved by the Scottish Medicines Consortium (February 2008) for use in patients who are unsuitable for or unable to tolerate oral treatment options for osteoporosis [9]. Evidence from Finland, Norway and the Netherlands suggests that zoledronic acid 5mg once yearly infusion was a cost-effective first-line option for osteoporosis in postmenopausal women [10].

As in all modeling exercises, several assumptions were made in this study leading to uncertainties in the results. In this analysis, we explicitly accounted for these uncertainties by assigning confidence intervals and plausibility ranges based on published sources to the quality-of-life, odds ratio, and fracture costs in the model. To assess the influences of other model structures and assumptions on the cost-effectiveness estimates, one-way sensitivity analyses of various parameters were performed. These various sensitivity analyses did not result in qualitatively different results, and the model proved to be rather robust. The cost-effectiveness of the zoledronic acid versus alendronic acid in this model and its robustness in the sensitivity analysis suggest that the zoledronic acid should be reimbursed by the health care payer (Ministry of Health) in Egypt.

There are some limitations that have to be considered. First; the study doesn't discuss any compliance issues. Compliance is never 100% in oral therapy studies, whereas it should be 100% with infused therapy, in this case, the zoledronic acid due to the fact that it is a single administration for the whole year [4]. One review reported that in a hypothetical choice, the majority of patients preferred annual IV zoledronic acid to frequent oral Bisphosphonates [10,11]. Therefore, Low compliance would be associated with frequent oral Bisphosphonates that can cause 17% increase in the FR and a subsequent 37% increase in the risk of all cause hospitalization which could increase the cost effectiveness of zoledronic acid. Nevertheless, our model did not take into account adherence data during the clinical trials. The persistence during clinical trials was found to be 84% for zoledronic acid [13], 81–89% for alendronic acid [14,15]. Since these values are not reflecting real life setting, we did not include them in our model.

Second, although Randomized Clinical Trials (RCTs) provide the best available evidence for the relative treatment effect of a particular pairwise comparison, the identified RCTs were only placebo-controlled trials. To obtain insight in the relative efficacy of the new therapy versus a bisphosphonate, we had to rely on indirect comparisons [16]. Third, the time horizon has been limited to 5 years in order to directly use evidence-based clinical data, without any speculation about expected effectiveness over a very long time period, such as a life time horizon. There is no published evidence of longer-term effectiveness data for zoledronic acid necessary to populate such a theoretical model. Once long-term head-to-head clinical evidence becomes available, further modeling approaches will be useful to simulate longer term costs, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness. It should also be noted that the incorporation of several simplifying assumptions into the model is a weakness, but this weakness was overcome by the sensitivity analyses that encompassed wide ranges of parameter values.

There are other limitations that need to be considered when assessing the relative generalizability of this study. First, we adopted the perspective of the Ministry of Health and not a societal perspective and thus excluded indirect costs and out-of-pocket direct costs incurred by the patient. Accounting for these costs would likely increase the superiority of zoledronic acid because increases in QALYs will lead to reduced absenteeism, increased productivity and recovery, and reduced direct nonmedical costs associated with the follow-up. Second, quality of life values utilized in the model was transferred from the Danish population because we have no outcome data available regarding quality of life values for the Egyptian population. Certain elements of cost-effectiveness studies are transferable. It was based on four steps that consider data availability and methods for adjusting cost-effectiveness information to a particular jurisdiction [17]. Third, ten published articles in English were identified by our electronic search, but we may have omitted some important information that could have been recovered from non-English articles.

The main driver of the cost-effectiveness of zoledronic acid in this model was the increased compliance rate as it is infused over a 15-minute period once a year in zoledronic acid group. This strategy will potentially be applicable to other settings in Egypt because there are no major differences in clinical practices between rural and urban areas; thus, zoledronic acid additional benefit worth the cost that will be reimbursed by the Egyptian Ministry of Health (i.e., good value for money).

- **Conclusion**

It is important to address both the clinical and the economic implications of a new therapy from the payer perspective before deciding on public reimbursement of new therapies. Taking the above-mentioned limitations and uncertainties in consideration the conclusion is that Zoledronic acid is cost-effective alternative to the use of Alendronic acid for management of post-menopausal women with osteoporosis. This means that, compared with alternative, treatment with zoledronic acid gives a

comparatively high health benefit (improvement in quantity and/or quality of life) for the money spent on treatment.

- **Declaration of interest**

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this article.

- **Appraisal Committee members**

Each technology appraisal is appraised by the PE Committee, which is one of CAPA's standing advisory committees and consist of members who represent different specialties such as statistics, clinical evidence, economics, medicine, clinical pharmacy and pharmacoeconomics. A list of the Committee members who took part in the discussions for this appraisal appears below:

- **Prof. Ahmed Hassouna**, Consultant, clinical trials.
- **Prof. Ashraf Nabhan**, Associate Editor, Cochrane Collaboration.
- **Prof. Heba Nassar**, Professor at the Faculty of Economics and Political Science, Cairo University.
- **Dr. Abd Allah Mohammed**, Expert at National Authority for the control of Biopharmaceuticals.
- **Prof. Ayman El-Garf**, Professor of Rheumatology at Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University.
- **Prof. Manal Sedky**, Professor of Rheumatoid at Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University.
- **Prof. Randa El-Desoki**, Scientific director of global initiatives of the Organization of the economics of medicine management and research outputs.
- **Dr. Mahmoud El-Mahdawy**, General director of Hospital pharmacy administration, Central Administration for Pharmaceutical Affairs, Ministry of Health.
- **Dr. Amr Saad**, director of pharmacy pharmaceutical vigilance Center central management of the affairs.
- **Dr. Gihan Hamdy**, Head of Pharmacoeconomic Unit, Central Administration for Pharmaceutical Affairs, Ministry of Health.
- **Dr. Rasha Hassan**, director of pricing administration Central Administration for Pharmaceutical Affairs, Ministry of Health.
- **Dr. Samah Ragab**, Head of the technical office, Central Administration for Pharmaceutical Affairs, Ministry of Health.

- **Dr. Sarah yousri**, pricing Committee administrator, Central Administration for Pharmaceutical Affairs, Ministry of Health.
- **Dr. Rasha Abou Shady**, Director of tenders administration, Central Administration for Pharmaceutical Affairs, Ministry of Health.

- **PEU project team**

- **Gihan Hamdy El-sisi**, Head of Pharmacoeconomic Unit, Central Administration for Pharmaceutical Affairs, Ministry of Health.
- **Andrew Metry**, Team member of Pharmacoeconomic Unit, Central Administration for Pharmaceutical Affairs, Ministry of Health.

- **References:**

- 1.The National Health System website; <http://www.nhs.uk/Conditions/Osteoporosis/Pages/Introduction.aspx>; accessed at July 13, 2014.
- 2.Wiktorowicz ME, Goeree R, Papaioannou A, Adachi JD, Papadimitropoulos E:Economic implications of hip fracture: health service use, institutional care and cost in Canada. Osteoporos Int 2001, 12:271-278.
- 3.Kanis JA on behalf of the World Health Organization Scientific Group (2007), Assessment of osteoporosis at the primary health-care level. Technical Report.World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Metabolic Bone Diseases,University of Sheffield, UK. 2007: Printed by the University of Sheffield.
- 4.Serrano AJ, Begona L, Anitua E, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of alendronic acid and zoledronic acid for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. Gynecol. Endocrinol, 2013; 29(12): 1005-1014.
- 5.Zaki, M.E., Hussien, F.H., and El Banna;Osteoporosis among ancient Egyptians; International Journal of Osteoarchaeology 19: 78-89.
- 6.Mohy Taha, MD, (2011) Prevalence of osteoporosis in Middle East systemic literature review, 10th ECOO, April 2011- <http://www.scribd.com/doc/53103901/Osteoporosis-Cairo-April-2011-v1>.

- 7.Lekkerkerker F, Kanis J, Alsayed N, et al. Adherence to treatment of osteoporosis: A need for study. *Osteoporos Int* 2007;18:1311–7.
- 8.Robert B Hopkins, Ron Goeree, Eleanor Pullenayegum, et al; The relative efficacy of nine osteoporosis medications for reducing the rate of fractures in post-menopausal women; *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2011, 12:209.
- 9.Scottish Medicines Consortium. Zoledronic acid (Aclasta). 2008, March <http://www.scottishmedicines.org.uk/smc/5601.html> (accessed 07 August 2014).
- 10.Akehurst R, Brereton N, Ariely R, et al. The cost effectiveness of zoledronic acid 5mg for the management of postmenopausal osteoporosis in women with prior fractures: evidence from Finland, Norway and the Netherlands. *Journal of Medical Economics* 2011; 14(1): 53-64.
- 11.Carmona R, Adachi R. Treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis, patient perspectives - focus on once yearly zoledronic acid. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2009;3:189-93.
- 12.Huybrechts K, Ishak K, Caro J. Assessment of compliance with osteoporosis treatment and its consequences in a managed care population. *Bone* 2006;38:922–8.
- 13.Black D. Once-yearly zoledronic acid for treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356:1809–22.
- 14.Black D, Cummings S, Karpf D, et al. Randomised trial of effect of alendronic acid on risk of fracture in women with existing vertebral fracture VFs. Fracture intervention trial research group. *Lancet* 1996;348:1535–41.
- 15.Cummings S, Black D, Thompson D, et al. Effect of alendronic acid on risk of fracture in women with low bone density but without vertebral fracture VFs: Results from the fracture intervention trial. *JAMA* 1998;280:2077–82.
- 16.Lu G, Ades AE. Combination of direct and indirect evidence in mixed treatment comparisons. *Stat Med* 2004;23:3105-24.
- 17.Drummond M, Barbieri M, Cook J, et al. Transferability of Economic Evaluations Across Jurisdictions: ISPOR Good Research Practices Task Force Report. *Value in Health* 2009;12:409-18.